16:00:00	1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	2	
	3	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
	4	
	5	
	6	PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD
	7	PUBLIC MEETING
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	SEPTEMBER 20, 2017
	13	
	14	
16:00:00	15	
	16	CALIFORNIA PRISON INDUSTRY AUTHORITY
	17	CONFERENCE CENTER
	18	2125 19TH STREET
	19	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
	20	, and the second
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	REPORTED BY: ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ
	25	CSR NO. 1564
	20	

1	ATTENDEES	
2	BOARD MEMBERS:	
3	SCOTT KERNAN, CHAIR DARSHAN SINGH, VICE CHAIR	
4	ARMOND AGHAKHANIAN WILLIAM DAVIDSON	
5	DAWN DAVISON BOB JENNINGS	
6	CURTIS KELLY JEFF McGUIRE	
7	MICHELE STEEB	
8	STAFF: CHARLES L. PATTILLO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
9	SCOTT WALKER RAYMOND MEEK	
10	RANDY FISHER SCOTT PERKINS	
11	THY VUONG MELISSA SCHANE	
12	NATALIE McCORKLE ZILLE KHAN	
13	COUNSEL:	
14 15	JEFF SLY	
16	PUBLIC MEMBERS:	
17	DEVIN FONG	
18	00	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
2	WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2017, 1:08 P.M.
3	000
4	CHAIR KERNAN: I call this meeting of the
5	Prison Industry Board to order at 1:08. I would
6	also like to note that this meeting is being held at
7	a publicly noticed location.
8	Board Secretary, please call the roll.
9	MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan.
10	CHAIR KERNAN: Here.
11	MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
12	MEMBER SINGH: Here.
13	MS. VUONG: Member Aghakhanian.
14	MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Here.
15	MS. VUONG: Member Davidson.
16	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Here.
17	MS. VUONG: Member Davison.
18	MEMBER DAVISON: Here.
19	MS. VUONG: Member Jenkins.
20	Member Jennings.
21	MEMBER JENNINGS: Here.
22	MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
23	MEMBER KELLY: Here.
24	MS. VUONG: Member Martin.
25	Member McGuire.

1 MEMBER McGUIRE: Here. 2 MS. VUONG: Member Steeb. 3 MEMBER STEEB: Here. 4 MS. VUONG: Let the record show that we 5 have a quorum of nine members. 6 CHAIR KERNAN: Very good. 7 I will just make a few opening remarks. 8 First, I would like to welcome our new Board Member 9 and formally welcome him to the Prison Industry 10 Authority, Mr. Bob Jennings. 11 MR. JENNINGS: Thank you. 12 CHAIR KERNAN: Pleasure. Mr. Jennings replaces a longstanding and very focused man who I 13 14 got to meet some years ago, Mr. Trujillo. Thank you 15 for your long years of service on this Board. Mr. Jennings comes to us with a wealth of 16 17 knowledge and experience in the trades. He's been 18 the Regional Director of Northern California for the 19 State Building & Construction Trades Council since 2013. He's also been with the United Association of 20 2.1 Plumbers, Pipe and Refrigeration Fitters, Local 246, 22 in Fresno and was president of the Pipe Trade 23 District Council. Previous to that, he was the vice President and councilmember of the Executive Board 2.4 25 of the State Pipe Trades Council.

Welcome, Mr. Jennings. we look forward to having your expertise and experience be a vital part of this Board. I want to personally thank you for taking on this role and really appreciate your participation.

I made a comment that I was the most over-worked bureaucrat in state government, but maybe you've taken that role, given that.

MEMBER JENNINGS: Thank you very much.

CHAIR KERNAN: Thank you very much.

MEMBER JENNINGS: Honor to be here.

CHAIR KERNAN: I'm not going to give you any more updates. I don't think anybody is interested in what is going on at CDCR, so can you

15 start with your presentation, General Manager?

MR. PATTILLO: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members. My name is Charles Pattillo. I am the General Manager of CALPIA and Executive Officer of the Board.

19 the Board.

For this Board meeting, we have three items today. Two are on business process and one is the potential for adding more folks into PIA's program. At our last meeting, the Board approved the opening of a new E-Waste and Computer-Refurbishment Enterprise in Ventura. We are looking at an October

activation date for that.

A couple things that are important to CDCR and PIA is a new Division of Juvenile Justice Director. A gentleman by the name of Chuck Supple, who we've worked with for a lot of years. So we are doing a lot of joint programs. There was a lot of money approved in the Annual Budget for DJJ programs, specifically a Pre-Apprenticeship Laborer program at Stockton and a CAD coding, e-waste facility, and computer refurbishing at Ventura that we are working out with them.

We continue to move forward with the help of the Secretary. A couple things that we're working on right now is realigning the way folks are assigned to PIA or IWL or other programs when they do come to prison. We make sure that they're running through the training programs first, before we get them into the institution, with programs like Plant Operation or IWL, to make sure that they get all the training that they need and subsequently get them into appropriate jobs upon parole.

We have also expanded the number of folks who we are paying union dues for to get into the Labor proper division - Carpenters, Ironworkers - statewide. Now that we're including all such

high-tech folks who meet the requirement, as well as career technical education folks on the CDCR side, we've found that the biggest impediment for folks who are joining the union after they've been properly trained, is actually meeting the union portion of it. The union portion is \$1,300 to get in, upfront, including tools. So we're kind of stacking the deck for more people. I think it's especially about to pay off very soon.

2.1

We've also been the lead for CDCR for integrating former offenders into a statewide workforce plan. We have probably about eight or so meetings we have accomplished. Milo Fitch, who is Chief of Workforce Development, is not here today. He's back East training. He's been leading the effort for our organization. We now have a fully vetted plan that will demonstrate workplans to the California Workforce Development Board on how to deal with ex-offenders coming into the 116 job centers statewide.

PIA is financing training as well as potentially doing a pilot program with a county in Southern California. We're going through the process right now, getting that plan implemented.

Last, we're going to talk about Mr. Trujillo.

You're going to have to wait till after all the action items. While Mr. Trujillo is departing, I also want to talk about another retirement also.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

This will be Mr. Walker's last official Board meeting as the Assistant General Manager over Operations. He's been with us over thirty years, and if I ever took any credit for anything that's gone on around here, the credit really belongs to this guy. There are not too many times in an organization where you get to have folks where you can close your eyes and know that everything will work out just fine, and let them just go do their iob. In this case, that is Scott Walker. While he is departing, he will still be on the payroll till the Spring. He will be doing some consulting work and mentoring the new person who is coming on board effective October 1. Scott Perkins, who is here, will be the new Operations Manager of CALPIA.

Please stand, Scott. Just to point out Scott who will be the Operations Manager. He will be the new Scott Walker. And Mr. Walker will be departing. He will be here through the December Board meeting also, but we definitely want to acknowledge him today. He wanted no acknowledgement, so you know I had to acknowledge him today.

MR. WALKER: I thought we had an agreement. That's embarrassing. Chuck is -- I don't want to get emotional. Chuck's been like a brother to me. It's a weird relationship we have. Almost like a sibling rivalry. Without you, we'd never even be close to where we are. I certainly wouldn't be.

So thank you. Thank you, the Board, for everything you have done for me and certainly the organization. Scott Perkins is more than qualified. You are in good hands.

Thank you.

2.1

MR. PATTILLO: With that, Mr. Chairman, we are going to move into the Action Items.

CHAIR KERNAN: First Action Item, A.

MR. PATTILLO: I will turn this over to our staff attorney. The first item is actually based on our need to increase the number of offenders who are in our program. Last year the Board approved half-time programing statewide. And now we are assuring that it is very clear that anybody who can work a half-time job, no matter if they are in a mental health program, substance abuse, any other kind of education program, there is a half-time program available for them at PIA.

We're also reducing the TABE portion, nine to

seven, to accommodate those in some instances. The backstop to all of this is anybody that goes through under this lower score will still have two years to get their GED or high school diploma. That will be done. Right now in our population, 83 percent of the offenders in PIA have a high school diploma or equivalent. That is higher than any other program.

2.1

MR. SLY: Good afternoon. I am Jeff Sly, General Counsel for the California Prison Authority, and also Counsel for the Board.

As Chuck pointed out, this first Action Item,
A, is pretty simple. It's just to amend and add
some minor language to two already existing Title 15
regulations for Prison Industry Authority.

Section 8004 is basically going to add language that provides that an inmate with physical or developmental disabilities, who otherwise meets hiring requirements, will not be excluded from participation in CALPIA's job or training programs.

The second amendment is Section 8004.1. It makes the Test of Adult Basic Education reference to the Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) scores. Subdivision D will change the minimum score of nine to seven; and Subdivision E will change the alternate minimum score of seven to five. This

change, with the comments from Chuck, is really all
I have to say, other than is there any questions or
comments? Otherwise I'd ask you to approve these
two amendments.

2.1

MEMBER DAVISON: I have a comment. And when I was -- I just wanted to point out that I have no objection to lowering the scores, and I've talked to Chuck. My only issue is that -- I understand that PIA, within two years, that the offender will get their high school diploma or GED.

MR. PATTILLO: Or equivalent.

MEMBER DAVISON: In lowering the TABE scores, when the inmate sits in the committee, there's an education representative there, correct? I would like to see, if they have a lower TABE score, that the education representative puts the inmate in the other half of the assignment, makes sure that they are in schooling.

MR. PATTILLO: First.

MEMBER DAVISON: First.

MR. PATTILLO: That is correct.

MEMBER DAVISON: If we can have that agreement with CDCR, if we're lowering the TABE scores, so the other half of the assignment will be school.

1 MR. PATTILLO: I think the way that we're 2 going to tweak it a little bit to improve the 3 policy, is to give me authority to make executive 4 changes. In fact, PIA will always be the secondary 5 appointment. MEMBER DAVISON: Okay. School and then. 6 7 MR. PATTILLO: Or whatever it is, and then 8 - the other, and then PIA. 9 MEMBER DAVISON: Then I'm good. 10 CHAIR KERNAN: Any other comments from the 11 Board? 12 MEMBER STEEB: I have a question, Chuck. 13 Before we instituted GED or affirmative acquisition 14 and a mandatory part, what was our -- you said it was 83 percent now? 15 16 MR. PATTILLO: Sixty-three. A 20-point 17 difference by doing that. 18 MEMBER KELLY: We should track that we are 19 83 now. We let them know what is possible. 20 MR. PATTILLO: You will see a couple 2.1 numbers go down if you put more folks in there. 22 They will be in half-time positions. We will know 23 who is in half-time and who is in full-time 24 positions. We can report that real quick. 25 CHAIR KERNAN: I think it ultimately

1 increases the incentive to the inmates. Inmates now who have the lower TABE score, you know they are 3 forced to go to school and not have an assignment 4 where they make some money so they can get canteen. 5 What PIA is doing is balancing that out so 6 they can do both. I think it will improve things for the prison and for the inmate. 8 Any other comments? 9 So as a reminder, if any member of the public 10 would like to make a comment regarding this item, 11 please have a speaker request form filled out and 12 then come forward and state your name and 13 affiliation. 14 And seeing no public comments, is there a 15 motion to approve Action Item A? 16 MEMBER KELLY: So moved. 17 MEMBER JENNINGS: Second. 18 CHAIR KERNAN: First and second. 19 Board Secretary, please take roll. 20 MS. VUONG: Member Aghakhanian. 2.1 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye. 22 MS. VUONG: Member Davidson. 23 MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye. 24 MS. VUONG: Member Davison. 25 MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.

1	MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
2	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
3	MS. VUONG: Member Jennings.
4	MR. JENNINGS: Yes.
5	MS. VUONG: Member McGuire.
6	MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
7	MS. VUONG: Member Steeb.
8	MEMBER STEEB: Yes.
9	MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
10	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.
11	MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan.
12	CHAIR KERNAN: Yes.
13	MS. VUONG: Motion passes nine-zero.
14	CHAIR KERNAN: Action Item B.
15	MR. PATTILLO: Action Item B is a
16	regulation and Item C is policy. This has to do
17	with vehicle acquisition. The first part of this
18	is, PIA has had a Board-adopted procurement policy
19	since 2005. What our first Action Item is, is a
20	regulation basically codifying that regulation and
21	also adding in vehicles and vehicle repair
22	maintenance in that portion of the acquisition.
23	I'll let Jeff open on the regulation.
24	MR. SLY: In Action Item B, we're actually
25	proposing to add a regulation to 8.5 out of Title

15, Division 8.5, which are regulations, rules for the Prison Industry Authority Board. This is actually a regulation for your authority. It addresses the authority given in the statute, Penal Code Section 2808(g), which gives the Board specific authority to create policies and procedures for the California Prison Industry Authority.

What we are doing here is the first step, which ultimately will be to move all of our procurement stuff into a regulatory format as we've discussed with the Office of Administrative Law. We thought we would start with the Board.

This regulation will address the Board's authority to approve CALPIA's procedures, which would be in the next Action Item. So here, basically, spelled out in the item itself is the reason why we need this and the authority for doing it. I won't actually read all that since everybody has had an opportunity to look at that, but I will open it up for any comments or questions before we ask you to approve it.

MR. PATTILLO: There is no opposed amendments on this one. It's just a regulation.

MEMBER McGUIRE: I just have some comments.

Part of the reason for the regulation is the

Department of General Services, which is my department, and so there has been some in the past and part of it kind of looks the other way, or maybe it wasn't as well-known, and the Controller bought it up more recently, that they were looking for our approval for the procurement for the vehicles and for the repairs on PIA's behalf.

2.1

We looked back at the statute and, again, the vehicle part of the procurement in the statute and Article 5. Our attorneys read that that part of the article doesn't exempt PIA. Article 2 and 3 exempts PIA from the other procurement activities of the State, but, in fact, Article 2.5 doesn't.

Clearly, in my 30-plus years in state government, is you can get three attorneys in a room and get three different opinions on how the statute applies in a situation. So I'm not going to pretend to be an attorney myself. Our legal staff really felt that maybe the best approach to get to the solution that everyone is after is a statutory change in Article 2.5. I know that takes a longer runway to do that. And so PIA has chosen to, based on their opinion that 2.5 doesn't include this because of the funding situation and for the parts that are funded there, that they are not funded from

the General Fund.

2.1

Chuck's approach is, let's just put it in a reg, and getting it cleared through OAL has the same effect. So I think for DGS's position and just, frankly, before I vote, is that we aren't opposed to the end result. We think that the more appropriate approach is to change the statute.

I'm going to vote no on the reg, but we are not voting no on the ultimate outcome. So we'll vote for the policy after it. So you know where we are and so everyone understands.

MR. PATTILLO: Basically, Jeff and I have a very good relationship on this issue. Our main issue on this is it is cumbersome to procure a vehicle through the Department of General Services. They won't show charts. Their timeline is 13 months. Ours is three to seven days in procuring a vehicle. It's just one of those things that last year, when we stood up the Facilities Maintenance Program, we had to roll that out at 35 locations at one time. We had to buy a whole bunch of four-wheel vehicles to get folks around. And if we'd gone through that process, we wouldn't have been so far along as we are in resolving the lawsuit as far as prisons.

1 Can I ask a quick question? CHAIR KERNAN: 2 MR. PATTILLO: Yes. 3 CHAIR KERNAN: Is it possible, in going 4 forward with these regs and even despite the 5 conflicting legal opinion, to seek a statute change 6 to further clarify it, even though we're going to ask for --8 MR. PATTILLO: We can do that in addition, and I think it's probably something we will approach 9 10 It will take us too long to clarify. 11 like having a million dollars held up in our account 12 has caused us some serious delays in rolling out a couple programs. That's what we're trying to do, to 13 14 get through that. 15 I will admit that I have been very critical of DGS. Because the way I look at it is that they're 16 17 spending an inordinate amount of resources trying to 18 figure out an opinion on us when we're the one 19 agency that does it right. So I would appreciate --20 CHAIR KERNAN: Are you saying CDCR does it 21 wrong? Are you saying -- is that what I just heard? 22 MR. PATTILLO: We don't abuse our 23 authority. Neither do you. We actually do it 24 right, correct. People have authority --25 CHAIR KERNAN: I do think PIA, like other

state agencies, need more flexibility to be able to move quicker. I certainly wish I could have that authority. I bet you there are a couple of people in this room who would like that greater flexibility to move quicker.

2.1

MEMBER McGUIRE: Just for whole disclosure, we are relooking at the whole acquisition process and how can we do more, maybe verifying after instead of the front-end of everything. More like crediting departments that you have to track with compliance. We let them kind of do it themselves in order to track afterwards versus approving everything upfront. We will work with our General Services support group, which CDCR is a representative member of. So we are working on looking how to modernize that process. I'm wondering if anyone remembers when it was asked, How many vehicles does the state have? No one knows. There was a lot of criticism, and that was on the agency that was supposed to oversee that.

So the pendulum kind of swung over to "We need a lot more control." Now, we're trying to swing back to the middle. We realize PIA has more budget cycle than with everybody else, where they all kind of know and they get in the queue to get their

1 funding and the vehicles and stuff.

2.1

CHAIR KERNAN: Very well said, and I agree a hundred percent.

Any other comments from the Board?

Is there any member of the public who would like to make a comment regarding this item?

MR. FONG: Good afternoon. My name is Devin Fong. I'm the Deputy Director of the Office of Business Services within CDCR. I am also the Procurement and Contract Officer for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Both the Fleet Management Unit and the Fleet Acquisitions Unit are sections within of Division of Administrative Services.

In '16-17, my office oversaw \$1.4 billion dollars worth of contract procurements and \$146 million worth of purchases from PIA. So CDCR is obviously very interested in containing costs, and any proposal which may increase our operating cost to run our institutions is -- we are always going to be interested in those.

So just a couple facts that I just want to present today for your consideration. State

Administrative Manual 4127 states that if fleet acquisition plans are submitted around the first

business day of April, they should be approved within that Fiscal Year or shortly after.

2.1

April 4th. We still don't have an approved acquisition plan. Section 4120, Government Code 1332.09, identifies the process in which to acquire vehicles. Those sections do not afford a process to acquire vehicles without an approved acquisition plan. There is no outlet.

The Receiver - we're under receivership as most of us know - has been extremely critical of our timely replacement of vehicles - most recently of our CIM healthcare replacement vehicles. It takes us almost a year, if not more, to replace vehicles, and it's a critical finding that we're working closely with the Receiver to resolve.

Lastly, as Jeff mentioned, DGS does acknowledge the problem the Departments have been bringing forward in an attempt to work through the problems with the acquisition plan, and are really committed to getting that done. But I just want to offer those facts to you in support of this motion.

MR. PATTILLO: Full disclosure. Mr. Fong was also our former Chief Administrative Officer for PIA. I want to acknowledge that. He was with us

1 for many years, also. So he's helped develop a lot 2 of these policies. 3 CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions? 4 MEMBER KELLY: Does this vehicle policy 5 include trucks and forklifts and everything else? 6 MR. PATTILLO: Everything. 7 MEMBER KELLY: I've been on the Board a 8 long time, been through what I call a lot of head-hunting audits where politicians come in and 9 10 look at our facilities and see things and tell us 11 that we have too much stock or we're too slow in 12 delivery. And, you know, for this to be -- for our 13 vehicles, we need to transport things on time. It's 14 very cumbersome for us. I, for one, am going to 15 vote yes. 16 Let me say one last thing. CHAIR KERNAN: 17 DGS saved CDCR's bacon when we had -- we needed some 18 emergency transport vehicles for our mental health 19 crisis recently. DGS did everything that they could 20 to help us. I want to be very clear that I think 2.1 DGS and CDCR are working closely to get to a better place on these vehicles. 22 23 If there are no other questions, can I have a 24 motion to approve Action Item B? 25 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: So moved.

1	MEMBER JENNINGS: Second.
2	CHAIR KERNAN: I have a first and a second.
3	Madam Secretary, will you take roll?
4	MS. VUONG: Member Aghakhanian.
5	MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
6	MS. VUONG: Member Davidson.
7	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
8	MS. VUONG: Member Davison.
9	MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
10	MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
11	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
12	MS. VUONG: Member Jennings.
13	MR. JENNINGS: Yes.
14	MS. VUONG: Member McGuire.
15	MEMBER McGUIRE: No.
16	MS. VUONG: Member Steeb.
17	MEMBER STEEB: Yes.
18	MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
19	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.
20	MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan.
21	CHAIR KERNAN: Yes.
22	MS. VUONG: Motion passes eight-one.
23	CHAIR KERNAN: Jeff, C.
24	MR. SLY: Action Item C is basically the
25	second part of what we just talked about in Action

Item B. These are essentially, for your review and approval, the changes to our existing procurement policy and procedures that we want the Board to specifically approve, that shows PIA has the authority to purchase vehicles and maintenance and repairs.

2.1

Some of the Board members may remember back in 2005, the Board first took action to approve PIA to have independent procurement authority. Since that time, it's been implied that the procurement authority involved the purchase of vehicles, as PIA has been purchasing its own vehicles since that time. It's only been in the recent year and a half, two years or so that some of this had come into question with regards to reimbursement from the Controller's Office, for the revolving fund expenditures for cars and for maintenance and repairs. It's now kind of come up as to whether or not PIA has the authority and whether that authority has really been documented anywhere.

Recognizing Mr. McGuire's comments before, PIA has not ignored the DGS position on this. It is that we do believe that there is statutory authority for this Board to issue that authority to grant PIA this authority and that PIA has legal authority to

move forward with the purchase of the vehicles. And these policies, these two policies we are asking you to approve, just merely put it in writing and have the Board affirmatively approve on the record that yes, they agree it's your statutory authority.

the Board the authority to approve PIA to do these things. We are not saying PIA indefinitely has that authority. The Board has the authority to approve for PIA. Now we're asking you to -- the last action item was to allow us to move forward with this regulation to support that authority. Now this is a procedure we need in order to carry it out in writing, so that we can show that to the Controller's Office and then seek reimbursement that we need for the expenditures that have already taken place and in the future.

CHAIR KERNAN: So to our previous discussion, can you explain to me how we are going to report to DGS so that when DGS gets asked the question of how many vehicles we have, how are we going to keep that reporting requirement sustained?

MR. PATTILLO: So the Department of General Services is also the acquisition management. They have, basically, a statewide database of all vehicle

information. We will continue to operate -- what was just handed out to you was 4.7. The Secretary committed to, Secretary Batjer, which is DGS's parents, that we would comply with all reporting requirements on whatever we buy.

2.1

Whatever it is, we'll report it up. 4.7 says CALPIA will report to the Office of Fleet and Asset Management all post-purchase data to ensure compliance with state and federal environmental energy and fiscal policies. That is basically just the full, encompassed reporting that DGS requires. There is nothing that we are not going to report. We have hired one position just for this reporting purpose, but we're looking forward to getting to a point where we have just one person for reporting.

MR. PATTILLO: We have that much reporting.

Mr. Fong probably has, I guess, six more people than that. But we're looking for the day when we go to all completely cellular, electronic reporting. So we have volunteered to be a testing agency in this way. We'll put up the upfront money on this thing because it makes sense to have it that way, so we're using data instead of warm bodies to do the reporting.

1 MR. SLY: If I could just interject, it is 2 still past value feature. We are substituting that 3 for the C1 that you have in your binder. When you 4 vote on this, you are approving what has just been 5 given, not what exists in your binder. That is 4.7, what I read out 6 MR. PATTILLO: 7 loud. 8 CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions from the 9 Board? 10 One last silly one. The zero emission 11 vehicles, do you intend to comply with all state 12 requirements as it relates to zero emission 13 vehicles? 14 MR. PATTILLO: Yes. 15 CHAIR KERNAN: Okay. 16 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: I have a quick 17 question. Regarding zero emission vehicles, there 18 are moneys that you can get reimbursed because of 19 the [indecipherable]. 20 MR. PATTILLO: We qualify for any rebates 2.1 that comes through. A lot of times, though, on 22 negotiations we buy off DGS contracts sometimes. 23 There are times we get them cheaper. If we go 24 through them, a lot of those reimbursements have

been negotiated as part of the price of the vehicle,

25

```
1
   so it's not double-dipping.
 2
             CHAIR KERNAN: Seeing no other questions,
 3
   would any member of the public like to make a
 4
   comment regarding this item?
 5
          Seeing none, may I have a motion on Action
 6
   Item C?
 7
             MEMBER JENNINGS:
                                So moved.
 8
             MEMBER SINGH: Second.
 9
             CHAIR KERNAN: Board Secretary, roll,
10
   please.
11
             MS. VUONG: Member Aghakhanian.
12
             MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
13
             MS. VUONG: Member Davidson.
14
             MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
15
             MS. VUONG: Member Davison.
16
             MEMBER DAVISON:
                              Aye.
17
             MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
18
             MEMBER KELLY:
                             Yes.
19
             MS. VUONG: Member Jennings.
20
             MR. JENNINGS: Yes.
2.1
             MS. VUONG: Member McGuire.
22
             MEMBER McGUIRE: Aye.
23
             MS. VUONG: Member Steeb.
24
             MEMBER STEEB:
                             Yes.
25
             MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
```

1 MEMBER SINGH: Yes. MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan. 2 3 CHAIR KERNAN: Yes. 4 MS. VUONG: Motion passes nine-zero. 5 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Are we now going to 6 get a nice bigger sticker that says CALPIA? We are ready for External 7 CHAIR KERNAN: 8 Affairs update. Mr. General Manager. 9 MR. PATTILLO: Yes, we are. So Ms. Vuong 10 is acting Chief of External Affairs as well as being 11 the Board Secretary. 12 Just to give you an update on Michele. still out. We actually went and outfitted her with 13 14 an office at home. She can work partially from She will be out of commission and in a walker 15 home. 16 for a while longer. 17 MS. VUONG: Good afternoon, Board Members. 18 I just want to start off with September being a 19 fantastic month for PIA. We had our San Diego 20 Employer Forum on September 1st. I want to start 2.1 off with thanking Mr. Jenkins, Ms. Davison and Ms. Steeb for attending to support the event. 22 23 Especially Mr. Jenkins for his very powerful 24 presentation. He was part of the planning committee coordinating the event. Ms. Steeb who stepped in to 25

1 be our emcee. She did a fantastic job holding court and making the program move. Thank you so much for 3 doing that. 4 CHAIR KERNAN: Was the Governor nice to 5 you? 6 MEMBER STEEB: He did not challenge me like 7 he challenged Chuck. I was very grateful. 8 MS. VUONG: The event was coordinated in 9 collaboration with local community partners and law 10 The goal was to educate local enforcement. 11 businesses on the benefits of hiring former 12 offenders. There were about 400 attendees there; 13 168 companies representing employees. So a good turnout with a lot of positive media coverage. 14 15 We want to thank the San Diego Workforce 16 Partnership, Second Chance, San Diego Sheriff's 17 Office and San Diego County Probation Department. Ι 18 also want to give a special thank you to Michele 19 Kane. Before she went out with her injury, she had 20 a very well-detailed plan for how to execute the 2.1 event, so it went very smoothly. She was so 22 detailed oriented, and it went very well. I want 23 you to know that. 2.4 The highlight of the event was the Governor, 25 who provided the keynote remarks. He praised CALPIA

for providing employment and programming opportunities for offenders and also for its self-sufficiency. He also drove home the point that businesses could have a significant impact on public safety as well by being the ones to provide second chances to offenders, and he challenged them to demonstrate this by helping them succeed when they are released.

2.1

Some additional events we have coming up:
September 22nd, this Friday, the Golf Benefit. This
year we are benefiting the Folsom Project for
Visually Impaired and the Moral Values Program of
Sacramento.

We have two events in October. October 12th is a grand opening and ribbon-cutting for the Autodesk-Authorized Training Center in Folsom. That will be keynoted by Ralph Diaz, Undersecretary of Operations for CDCR.

The second ribbon-cutting ceremony will be October 19th at the California Institution for Women, for the new Code.7370 training room opening up. We're coordinating that event in partnership with CDCR and the local institution and The Last Mile. We're anticipating a lot of good media coverage there.

Moving to Legislative updates. The last time the Board convened on June 29th there were two bills that were discussed here that the Board ultimately voted to support: AB 1068 and AB 1092.

2.1

2.4

AB 1068 is a bill that authorizes CALPIA to establish a pilot program to contract with one private employer that employs former offenders and to provide goods and services to CDCR and other state agencies. The bill passed both houses and is now before the Governor for signature, so it is still pending.

The second one, AB 1092, Medi-Cal eyeglasses. This bill is actually incorporated in the Governor's budget for the Fiscal Year, so it is in effect, sort of. It comes into effect actually in five years, depending on federal funding. That is in the budget but dependent on funding.

There is a new bill that came onto our radar, AB 822, regarding the sale of California produce. This bill requires California agencies to give in-state preferences to agricultural products grown within the state, so long as the purchase price is within 5 percent of the lowest bid grown by out-of-state vendors.

We anticipate minimal impact to us, if any.

1 Our only crop is almonds, and that is grown, cultivated and processed for internal consumption 3 only. There may be some impact to CDCR, but 4 definitely not to us, if any. That concludes my presentation for External 5 6 Affairs. Any questions? 7 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: The event that you had 8 in San Diego, the Employer Forum, how often do you hold such events? 9 10 MR. PATTILLO: We usually do about one a 11 year. We haven't picked the next one yet. Right 12 now we're focusing on the goodwill that we got out of -- we had several hundred employers. 13 So the Secretary has asked us to put a proposal together 14 15 for Southern California, how we can extrapolate on that and do a little bit more work in San Diego and 16 17 L.A. County. If we do -- L.A. was mentioned and 18 L.A. Technical Trade College was mentioned. 19 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: In terms of 20 businesses, is this open to everyone? 2.1 MR. PATTILLO: We actually made over 5,000 22 calls throughout the region, and ended up with just 23 shy of 400 people. It was a great turnout. 24 really was.

CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions?

25

MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Are there events in October and what time?

2.1

MS. VUONG: The ribbon cutting is at 11:00 a.m. That is a Thursday, October 19th. The Green Valley one, October 12th at 10:00 a.m.

CHAIR KERNAN: Very good.

We are now going to move on to the portion of the meeting reserved for comments regarding items not on the agenda.

Under the Bagley-Keene Act, the Board cannot act on items raised during public comment, but may respond briefly to statements made or questions posed, or may request clarification or refer the items to staff.

Would anyone like to make a comment or address the Board?

Before I adjourn, I would like to use the final few minute to give thanks to Ray Trujillo for his service to the Prison Industry Board since, remarkably, 2001, serving as one of the Governor's labor representatives.

Ray has been the Regional Director for the State Building Trades since 1995. From '91 to '95 he was the representative to the D.C. Ironworkers. From '85 to '91 he was the representative for the

Ironworkers, Local 378.

2.1

He is currently one of the Directors on the Sacramento Metropolitan Fire Board and has been a member of the Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee and the Concord Redevelopment Advisory Commission.

Ray has had a lasting impact on the Board. He has demonstrated his strong support of labor and also his strong support for CALPIA's mission and objectives. His ability to balance the needs of both has benefited California's correctional industries tremendously.

On behalf of the Board, I want to thank him for his support and leadership and for the impact he has on what we do. We could not have come as far as we have without you.

Thank you.

MR. PATTILLO: Ray's been extremely helpful to me. If you know you want something -- you know I don't like to pick a fight with anybody, but if --

CHAIR KERNAN: Yeah.

MR. PATTILLO: -- if you want to pick a fight and you need someone to help you, this is the guy you want with you. I've strategized with him several times to move things not only in our

department, CDCR, but outside state agencies,
including Labor. I have been very appreciative of
his help.

Ray, come on up. We have a nice plaque for you. Say a couple words.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Well, it would be more than a couple words. First of all, I would like to say that it's been my privilege and honor to represent the men and women in the construction industry, highly trained through apprenticeship programs who have opened the doors for inmates, not as a job but as a career, where they are able to go to any state in the United States and around the world and be able to work in that craft. Giving them a second chance.

We have all made bad mistakes at one time or another, and just the opportunity to give them a second chance was a goal that I had when I was appointed to this Board.

I have seen several acting Managers, General Managers, on this Board, and Mr. Pattillo above all has stood out and advanced this Board to the men and women in the institutions. And the Board Members who I have worked with, all highly knowledgeable and very intelligent, I appreciate that I have known you

and worked with you.

2.1

The one who sticks out in my mind the most and will remain my friend for life is Dar Singh. Dar, when I was appointed to the Board, took me under his wing, explaining how the Board worked, and if I needed any help he would give advice.

So, Dar, I want to thank you personally for the years of knowledge that you've bestowed upon me. And again, I can't say enough for Mr. Pattillo. This organization has really advanced under his leadership and skill. And that's attributed to you, Board Members, who have helped him with his ideas and made it possible for him to do that.

Again, I just want to thank everybody, including the Secretary. You are the first Secretary I really had a lot of confidence in. I talked to Chuck about you and Chuck said this guy is the real deal. And in the short time that I have worked with you, you are the real deal. I hope that wherever your endeavors take you, that I know you will succeed.

And Michele Steeb. I love you. You are such a sensitive person. And I'll share this with you folks. When we were in San Quentin and listened to some testimony, I was standing next to her, and I

looked at her and she looked at me. We both had 1 tears in our eyes. You are special, Michele. And 3 thank you for your work on this Board. 4 And this will be to my predecessor, Bob 5 Jennings. He is very highly qualified. He's been in the trades for years. Very knowledgeable and 6 easy to work with. If you think I did a good job, 8 wait till you see this guy. Thanks, Bob. 9 MEMBER JENNINGS: I have big shoes to fill. 10 MR. PATTILLO: At the very end, when we 11 adjourn, if we can get a picture. 12 MEMBER TRUJILLO: I don't see the union bug on here. They understandably spelled my last name 13 wrong. If it had been a union craft, they would 14 15 have done it right. Just joking. 16 MR. PATTILLO: Wow. 17 CHAIR KERNAN: Let's get to the picture. 18 If there is no other issue, this concludes our 19 Prison Industry Board meeting on September 20th, 2017. 20 2.1 Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? 22 MEMBER SINGH: So moved. 23 MEMBER AGHAKHANIAN: Second. 24 CHAIR KERNAN: We have a first and a 25 second.

```
1
           This meeting is adjourned.
 2
            (Public meeting concluded at 1:52 p.m.)
 3
                             ---000---
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```